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**Abstract**

[The abstract of the article should have a minimum length of 100 words and a maximum of 200 words and should be structured as follows: i) **introduction**: a brief contextualization of the problem addressed by the article that is presented to the reader; ii) **objective**: outline the general objective that gave rise to the study; iii) **methodology**: delimit the main elements that explain the methodological route that was carried out to fulfill the objective outlined in the study -such as approach, scope, data collection techniques, data analysis techniques, among others-; iv) **Results**: present the most significant findings of the study in congruence with the methodological route proposed; v) **conclusions**: present the main inferences derived from the study, which should be supported according to the findings obtained].

**Keywords:** [relate between 4 and 6 keywords that allow identifying the content of the article and thus, strengthen its visibility in search engines and indexing. In the footnote of the last keyword, the thesaurus from which the related words were obtained should be listed].

It is recommended to use descriptors that complement the information deposited in the title, in addition to using terms that are contained in any of the existing thesauri according to the subject in which the manuscript is included. The following is a list of hyperlinks to access some suggested by the editorial team:

***UNESCO Thesaurus (Social Sciences and Humanities):*** [[Ver]](http://vocabularies.unesco.org/browser/thesaurus/es/)

***University of Barcelona Thesaurus (Multidisciplinario):*** [[Ver]](https://vocabularis.crai.ub.edu/es/thub)
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**Resumen**

[List the Spanish version of the abstract of the article according to the guidelines established in the manuscript submission template of Pensamiento Americano Journal.]

**Palabras clave:** [Present the key words in Spanish according to the Thesaurus from which the key terms of the article have been obtained].

**1. INTRODUCTION**

[The introduction section corresponds to the presentation of the reasons that support the review exercise carried out by the author, supporting theoretically the relevance of carrying out this exercise. Likewise, the question guiding the review and a general overview of the structure of the article should be related. For this section, the author should especially consider using bibliographic citations with a maximum period of 5 years of obsolescence].

**2. METHODOLOGY**

[The methodological route of the article presents in detail the steps followed by the author to achieve the proposed objective, therefore, it should answer questions such as: i) type of review that was carried out; ii) databases on which the exercise is based (this includes justifying the reasons for selecting such databases); iii) quantity and typology of materials that guided the review; iv) criteria for inclusion and selection of the materials used in the exercise; v) techniques for the analysis of the information collected; vi) ethical considerations (in this case being a literature review, issues related to copyright and intellectual property regarding the materials used in the review should be related). The author should consider that this section constitutes a crucial element within the category of the scientific article, therefore, it should be sufficiently clear with respect to each component, ensuring an adequate understanding by the reader].

**3. RESULTS**

[The results section should include the findings obtained by the author in the review carried out, which will show how the objective was achieved and will also be consistent with the methodological design proposed -according to the type of review proposed-. Due to the volume of materials that support a review exercise, it is advisable to complement the section with synthesis options through tables and diagrams. The author should consider the importance of ensuring the articulation between the methodological route and the results presented].

4. DISCUSSION

[The discussion of the results constitutes a key section within the structure of the scientific article. In this section, the author should make a detailed analysis of the implications of his findings, discussing issues such as: what points of convergence do the results present with other approaches in the literature; in what aspects does the author's findings differ from what other researchers have written on the topic studied; and what are the novel contributions that the study's findings raise and that have not been documented by other authors? The author should ensure the coherence of this section with the results obtained; that is, the discussion is based on the findings, so the connecting thread of these two sections of the article should be carefully followed].

1. CONCLUSIONS

[In this section, the author will present the main inferences drawn from the results obtained in the study. Therefore, it will be crucial to show how the study responds to the objectives set, as well as the usefulness of the findings for the field of knowledge in which the article is included. Likewise, it is important that new research questions derived from the study can be referred to. The author should not use bibliographic citations for this section].
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